|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                   |                    |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Notice of Abandonment  | 10/602,934   | CHANDRASEKAR ET AL.            |                    |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit                       |                    |
|  | KENDRA D. CARTER   | 1617                           |                    |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   |  | l l                            | dress              |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |  |                                |                    |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on                        | ), which is after the december |                    |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C   | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar<br>Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | mendment which pla             | ices the           |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See   |  | mpt at a proper repl           | y, to the non-     |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.  |  |                                |                    |
| <ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: <ul> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li> <li></li></ul></li></ul>  | 5).<br>received on (with a Certifica   | ate of Mailing or Tra          | ansmission dated   |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   | e of \$ is due.  |                                |                    |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  |  | CFR 1.18(d), is \$             |                    |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   |  |                                |                    |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br>Allowability (PTO-37).   |  |                                |                    |
| <ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>   | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran   | ismission dated                | ), which is        |
| (b) $\square$ No corrected drawings have been received.  |  |                                |                    |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>   | e attorney or agent of record, the ass   | ignee of the entire ir         | nterest, or all of |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>  | attorney or agent (acting in a repres  | entative capacity ur           | der 37 CFR         |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clain  |  | e the period for see           | king court review  |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:  |  |                                |                    |
| see interview summary attached.  |  |                                |                    |
| /SREENI PADMANABHAN/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617   |  |                                |                    |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra   | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (  | CFR 1.181, should be           | promptly filed to  |